

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/311,070	05/13/99	HIRAKATA		Υ	0756-1971	
022204 NIXON PEABODY, LLP		MM91/1106	7	EXAMINER		
				NOLIVENIE		
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN VA 22102				2871		
				DATE MAILED:		
					11/06/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/311,070

Applicant(s)

Hirakata et al.

Examiner

Dung Nguyen

Art Unit 2871



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover that it is
THER	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
rejecti	REPLY FILED Oct 24, 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final ion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
) .	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) (The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
app set mail	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the illing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.□	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. 🗶	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) \2	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
(0) -	→ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ∟	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
N	NOTE: the amended claims 1, 3, 5 and 8 would require further consideration and search
4. 🗆 ,	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
S	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. 🗓 1	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \bowtie request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 14, 17 and 22-24 do not define over the cited art.
7. 🗆 T b	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
_	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
C	Claim(s) allowed: <u>10 and 11</u>
C	Claim(s) objected to:
C	Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 14-24
9.□ т	The proposed drawing correction filed ona) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner
10.□ No	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
11.□ Otl	William L. Sikes Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800